Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/638,094	BORST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ling X. Xu	1775
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Ling X. Xu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. Michael Buchanan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 01 February 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: claims 13 and 16.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. of	g) was not reached. h) N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner proposed the proposed amendment made to claim13. With respect recited in claim 16 inherently includes the limitation of the reflective layer and therefore no amendment is necessary. claim 16 has been withdrawn.</u>	l amendments to claims 13 and to claim 16, however, counsel haze-prevention layer in contac	d 16. Counsel agreed with argued that the method ct with the substrate and the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	•	
	Li	eg ku
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	